

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,682	09/04/2003	Scott William Dunham	P382C3	6497	
8791	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			GHYKA, ALE	GHYKA, ALEXANDER G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030	2812			
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
8	10/655,682	DUNHAM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Alexander G. Ghyka	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
• • •	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 19-28 is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ALEXANDER GHYKA			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		PRIMARY EXAMINER			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-28</u> is/are rejected.		A1 20			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		N 2812			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	DI DI			
Application Papers		Why Joga			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	,	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/655,682

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicants are requested to update the continuation status of this application.10/335,404, which is the parent of this application, originated from 09/939,272, which originated from 09/769,634, which is a division of 09/350417.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 19-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,206,972. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a process gas diffuser of the present claims encompasses the showerhead diffuser of the cited reference. Moreover, the channel regions and transition region of the cited reference encompass the diffusion stages of the present claims. Therefore, a *prima facie* case of obviousness is established.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,682

Art Unit: 2812

Claims 25-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,673

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because even though the present claims do not require a showerhead diffuser of the cited reference, the present claims require a diffuser which is encompassed by the showerhead diffuser of the cited reference. Moreover, the channel regions and transition region of the cited reference encompass the diffusion stages of the present claims. Therefore, a *prima facie* case of obviousness is established.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander G. Ghyka whose telephone number is (571) 272-1669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday during general business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,682

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AGG March 6, 2005

> ALEXANDER GHYKA PRIMARY EXAMINER